-	ALITY IMPROVEMENT (CQI)	CORIZON HEALTH
CQI	1405	Peer Review
	Peer Review Certificate	

PEER REVIEW CERTIFICATE						
PROFESSIONAL FILE						
Peer Review Type:	☐ External	🗹 Annual	☐ Focused			
☐ Peer review findings discu	ssed with practiti	ioner				
1						
Physician Reviewed: (pleas	se print)					
Papendick	Keith		MD-4M			
Last Name	First Name		Title			
Last Name Keith Papendick Signature			7/9/2018			
Signature			Date			
Reviewer: (please print)						
Pone 11	Juns		CMU			
Last Name And Med	First Name		Title 7/6//8			
Signature /			Date			

The results of the Peer Review are on file in the Quality Improvement Files

Case 2:19-cv-13382-GAD-PTM ECF No. 66-45, PageID.2676 Filed 09/14/21 Page 2 of 12 CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT (CQI) CQI 1405 Peer Review Provider Peer Review Questionnaire

Ke.	the Papendick		7	1611	6
Practi	itioner:	11 11	Date: /	///	
7	Janes Powell	Model			
Revie					
		l			
		it Care			
1.	Is knowledgeable of the intake or receiving screening	- 76		Yes	□ No
2.	Effectively participates in and manages the chronic co			☐ Yes	□ No
	a. Monitors treatment plans established for pa			☐ Yes	□No
	b. Monitors site chronic care treatment plans p		mes?	□ Yes	□No
3.	Actively participates in the operation of the infirmary	, 0 . /		☐ Yes	□No
	 a. Annually reviews and approves policies and of the infirmary? 	procedures specific to the opera	ation	☐ Yes	□No
	b. Ensures that physician rounds are conducted	d on medial admission infirmary		☐ Yes	□ No
	patients as indicated, but at least weekly, or	more frequently if required clin	ically		
	or by policy?	A			
4.	Actively participates in the sick call process?	NII		☐ Yes	□ No
		Number of calls per	month:		
5.	Demonstrates compassion in patient encounters?	10 15		☐ Yes	□No
6.	Honors DNR requests?			☐ Yes	□ No
	Note percentage of mortalities w	vith end of life discussion docum	iented:		
	Note	percentage of DNR requests fol	lowed:		
	Medical/Clinic	cal Judgement			
1.	Actively participates in the Utilization Management p services appropriately?			≇ Yes	□No
	Avy 106 Day Tourn arms The	umber of UM requests during las	st year:	16 0	85
		Percentage of ER referrals ad	quests:	83	2/1.
		reiceillage of En Teleffals auf	mitteu.	,	
2.	Participates in the utilization management of hospita	lized patients?		Yes	□ No
3.	Prescribes pharmaceutical therapy within the guideli contracted) formulary?	nes of the Corizon Health (or	A	☐ Yes	□No
		ge of non-formulary medication		VA	
		edicine orders/total number of c	rders):/		
	T	sed Practice			
1.	Has reviewed and approved the site-specific policies including suicide prevention and (SAW) substance ab		year,	Yes	□No
2.	Applies Corizon Health clinical pathway tools to impr			☑ Yes	□No
3.	Ensures that a practitioner is readily available to the			✓ Yes	□No
4.	Participates in the Medical Administrative Committee		26	Yes	□ No
4.	required by policy and Corizon Health?	e (MAC) meetings quarterly, or a	33	EM 162	LI NO
5.	Arrives on time for scheduled clinic hours?			₩ Yes	□No
6.	Is timely when completing peer review and credentia	al/re-credential information?		₩ Yes	□No
				and the second second	

Case 2:19-cv-13382-GAD-PTM ECF No. 66-45, PageID 2677 Filed 09/14/21 Page 3 of 12

CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
(CQI)

CQI

1405 Peer Review

Provider Peer Review Questionnaire

	Practice-Based Learning					
1.	Actively participates in the site level QI program?	☐ Yes	□ No			
2.	Ensures the review of patient records for quality improvement purposes each month?	☐ Yes	□ No			
3.	Addresses deficiencies identified through the CQI process?	☐ Yes	□ No			
4.	Chairs the site-level Morbidity and Mortality (M&M) Committee and complies with the Corizon Health Sentinel Event process?	□ Yes	□No			
5.	Follows up on corrective action plans identified through the Sentinel Event process?	☐ Yes	□No			
6.	Provides in-service training for the medical staff?	☐ Yes	□No			
7.	Updates his/her practice when Corizon Health releases new, evidence-based pathways?	₩Yes	□No			
	a. Corizon Health Warfarin Monitoring Program tools in place?	₩ Yes	□No			
	b. Corizon Health Standardized Corrective Regular Insulin Coverage (CRIC) tools in	Ø Yes	□No			
	place?					
	c. Corizon Health SAW tools in place?	Yes	□No			
	Interpersonal Communication					
1.	Appropriately communicates with the Regional Medical Director?	■ Yes	□No			
2.	Respectfully communicates with on-site and correctional staff?	₩ Yes	□No			
3.	Has legible hand writing?	☐ Yes	□ No			
4.	Progress notes are timely?	☐ Yes	□No			
5.	Progress notes communicate the practitioner's thinking and patient care treatment plans so that others can understand the plan of care?	☐ Yes	□ No			
6.	Responds to pages, calls and emergencies promptly?	™ Yes	□No			
	Professionalism					
1.	Objectively evaluates any complaints/grievances against him/her?	☐ Yes	™ No			
	Number of grievances:		9			
2.	Participates in and follows Corizon Health policies and procedures?	Yes	□No			
3.	Has had new legal actions?		□No			
4.	Engages with the medical staff, HSA, Behavioral Health and DON to promote teamwork?	✓ Yes	□No			
5.	Accepts responsibility when held accountable and respectfully holds team members accountable?	Yes Yes	□ No			
	Number of charts audited					
	Comments					
7c	Comments The Paperdick 15 a valuable assit To very 4M Team and has some his jul very well. He is also a great contibute To our in prevenent prieras.					

Goal Setting Evaluation Form

2018 Corizon Health Performance Plan

C	R	Z	0	N

		U	Utilization Management Medical	
lame: I	Keith L Papendick MD	Job Title:	Director	
	Service Anniversary (2018-2019)			
erformance Period:	✓ Annual Cycle (Jan - Dec 2018)	Reviewer:	James Powell, MD	
eview:		Role Type:		

RATING SCALE

- 1 Unacceptable: Consistently does not meet job requirements/substantially missed annual performance objectives; immediate improvement required to maintain employment
- 2 Developing/Needs Improvement: Employee is relatively new to the position, learning the knowledge and skills needed to be successful. -OR Employee occasionally does not meet job requirements/almost attained annual performance objectives; performance needs to improve to meet expectations of position
- 3 Successful: Able to perform 100% of job duties satisfactorily/fully attained annual performance objectives; normal guidance and supervision are required
- 4 Exceeds Expectations: Frequently exceeds job requirements/all planned objectives achieved above established standards; accomplishments made in unexpected areas as well
- 5 Role Model: Consistently exceeds job requirements/exceeded annual performance objectives; highest level of performance that can be attained

SMART Values and Behaviors - Corizon SMART (Safety, Motivation, Accountability, Respect, Teamwork) Values- weight of 20%

Enter values here:

SMART Values	SAFETY: 1 - Consistently prioritizes safety of self, custody, co-workers, and patient during all work encounters; for example: always having patient in view, securing all items, following the Rule of 100's etc. 2 - Watches out for potential safety issues and immediately reports findings to management. MOTIVATION: 1 - Consistently performs to high standards by adhering to all deadlines, accuracy standards, Corizon Health policies/procedures and interactions expectations. 2 - Demonstrates an openness to new ideas and processes and demonstrates adaptability during times of change. ACCOUNTABILITY: 1 - Consistently performs all tasks to standard, if unable to complete to standard, proactively seeks the support and guidance of leadership. 2 - Actively follows through on all commitments to ensure completed to satisfaction of patient, peers, client, and/or management. RESPECT: 1 - Consistently refrains from demonstrating any signs of disrespect as outlined in the Employee Success Guide and the Corizon Professionalism Policy, rather works to resolve differences and improve processes using positive means. 2 - Approach's every patient or work encounter with the mindset of maintaining the person's dignity, which includes always using respectful language, displaying appropriate non-verbal cues and always following proper procedures. TEAMWORK: 1 - Proactively seeks out ways to assist others to ensure the team reaches/exceeds goals. 2 - Shows commitment to and enthusiasm for the team diversity and company's vision, this includes actively being involved in solving problems and enhancing team performance.	Weight:	25 %	Supervisor Rating:	3	Self Rating:	5
Results Achieved	SAFETY: Reporting to SMD and RMD patient care issues that have been overlooked by our providers. near realtime turnaround. ACCOUNTABILITY: Consistently reaching a target of on of the lowest turn ar with Infectiious Disease and active contribution to assisting physicians understand the correct steps in management and patients. TEAMWORK: Contributes to the cohesion of the team and consistently ass review of the scale we agreed a 3 would be good as you do a good job but there are areas you can imp improving edeications to our clinicians at the sites.	ound times. 2. management of sisting other wi	Nearly we of Hepatiti th case op	eekly attending r s C infection. RE pinion and input	medical ESPECT: to core	departent mee shows respect process. Pete: a	eting

Goals - each 10% minimum, 40% maximum; Overall 80% allocation

Job Requirement/ Performance Objective #1	Turnaround time being less than 1 day	Weight:	25 %	Supervisor Rating:	5	Self Rating:	5
Results Achieved	0.05 days turnaround time. All requests placed in a day are completed the same day. This includes cares requests and 100 five digit requests per week. Pete: agree						
Job Requirement/ Performance Objective #2	Number of referrals per week being 175 per week on average	Weight:	25 %	Supervisor Rating:	5	Self Rating:	5
	v						-

Case 2:19-cv-13382-GAD-PTM ECF No. 66-45, PageID.2680 Filed 09/14/21 Page 6 of 12

Goal Setting

Job Requirement/ Performance Objective #3	Percentage of approvals vs. ATP compared to other reviewers for the same contract	Weight:	25 %	Supervisor Rating:	4	Eva Self Rating:	5 5
Results Achieved	My ATP rate in the Michigan contract is 16.2%. Other UMMD completing Michigan MDOC request has or close but the volume is higher in Michigan.	a 17.6% ATP rat	te. Pete: 1	the averga euphel	d ATP	is the same as o	others
Job Requirement/ Performance Objective #4		Weight:	%	Supervisor Rating:		Self Rating:	
Results Achieved							
Job Requirement/ Performance Objective #5		Weight:	%	Supervisor Rating:		Self Rating:	
Results Achieved							

Total Weight	100
Total Weighted Rating	4.25
Final Rating:	4

Rating Scoring Table	*
5 = 4.5 - 5	2= 1.5-2.49
4 = 3.5-4.49	1= 0-1.49
3 = 2.5-3.49	

^{*}Number is reflective of employee rating, not increase

1=Unacceptable, 2=Developing or Needs Improvement 3=Successful 4= Exceeds Expectations 5=Role Model

Manager Comments:	DR. Papendick is an asset to our UM team and does his job well. We hope he is able to commuincate more with Dr. Bomber to get the right education to our site providers.
Manager Signature:	James Powell, MD
Employee Comments:	Reviewed with Dr. Powell and agree with current assessments.
Employee Signature:	Keith Papendick MD



RMD Re-credentialing Review Summary

This review is a summary of current annual review.

Name: Leith Papendick	MA			Date: ///	14/19
Service Area: UA				State: 141	7 104
Alea.					1 /+ //
EVALU	JATION SU	IMMARY			
Leadership	Poor	Marginal	Average	Good	Excellent
Leadership Ability				\square	
Accepts Responsibility				X D X	
Organizational Skills					ĎK D
Decision-Making Skills				DX	
Responsiveness					D N
Site Visit Attendance					D N
Clinical	Poor	Marginal	Average	Good	Excellent
Basic Medical Knowledge				П	R
Follows Core Process					⊠ →
Ensures Site Leadership Compliance					Ö
Collaborates on CAPs and Safety					
Uses Resources Effectively					
Offsite Utilization			Ì ⊠ <		
Pharmacy Formulary Utilization				De 1/2	7 0 1
Back Log					D NA
Relationships	Poor	Marginal	Average	Good	Excellent
Patient Encounters					- NA
Client Relationship					- MA
Corporate Management				DA.	
Other Regional Management					
Staff				[A]	
Peers				an	Ø
Documentation	Poor	Marginal	Average	Good	Excellent
Timely					凶
Accurate					Ø
Reviews					©
Clinical					M
Personal	Poor	Marginal	Average	Good	Excellent
Ethical Conduct and Boundaries					Þ
					1

Name:	Keith	Japandick	11.7	Date:	
Explain all "poor" or "marginal" evaluations:					
*					от при
	e .	, s	une		
Are you av		lings of professional	misconduct while this practition	ner was affiliated with our	
				4	
			AT .		
	ware of any judg his practitioner		of a medical malpractice action	or any pending actions that	
·			No		
	n pl				
		ate care within the s	cope of practice that was provi	ded?	
			Yes		
Recomi	mendation:	√ Yes ΓNo		hall clause to protour problems on the groupes had claused in the place of the study from the address security	
		{Chief/Regional Clinical Offi	And mell ma	10//4/19 opte/	1

Quality Improver	nent and Patient Safety	CORIZON
CQI	1405	Peer Review
	Peer Review Certifica	

Peer Review C Profession					
Peer Review Type:					
☐ Internal ☐ External ☐ Annual ☐ Fo					
Please check: Peer review findings discussed Alaka Ah In	Please check: Deer review findings discussed with clinician the charts Hatrush in unsystem				
Clinician Reviewed: (please print)					
Last Name First Name	Un MI				
Last Name First Name	Title				
Keith Papendick	01/27/2020				
Signature	Date				
Reviewer: (please print)					
Toutt Jong	CMO				
Last Name First Name	Title				
fat Want	1/27/20 /Date				
Signature	Date				
Page 1 and					
Managed and the second and the second					

The results of the Peer Review are on file in the Quality Improvement Files

Issued 1/2017 as NA8069

Reissued as QP1017 on 10/2018

Page 1 of 1

Quality Impr	ovement and Patient Safety (QIPS)	CORIZON			
CQI	1405	Peer Review			
Healthcare Support Team UM Clinician Peer Review Questionnaire					

Dr. Reith Papend	sik	
Clinician Name:	0/ 1/6	Clinician Title:
Dr Pelo Powell	Markey	Click here/ty/enter a pater
Reviewer Name/Title	Reviewer Signature	Date of Review/

Review of the Health Care Support Team Level Clinician (this form is completed by Senior Team)				
1.	Clinician's behavior is considered professional?	1 Yes	□No	□ N/A
2.	Consistently adheres to Corizon policies and procedures, including UM Core Process review?	Yes	□No	□ N/A
3.	Appropriately engages with other team members, fostering team work, including UM nurses and Regional Medical Directors?	☑ Yes	□No	□ N/A
4.	Utilizes appropriate evidence based references and guidelines to make referral determinations?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A
5.	Engages in discussion and info-seeking when necessary during the Committee Review process?	☐Yes	□No	□ N/A
6.	Clinician includes any appropriate contract specific requirements in the referral review process?	1 Yes	□No	□ N/A
7.	Requests information from site providers during the referral review process which is appropriate and necessary to make review determinations?	√Yes	□No	□ N/A
8.	Alternative Treatment Plans (ATP) recommendations/rationale are written clearly and adequately explained during the referral review process?	☑ Yes	□ №	□ N/A
9.	Referral review notes are written professionally and are clearly stated?	✓ Yes	□No	□ N/A
10.	All clinical decisions made were consistent with the best practice of medicine, provided timely, and was the appropriate care for the patient?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A
11.	Any conflict resolution was done professionally and timely?	☑ Yes	□No	□ N/A
12.	Clinician accepts other duties as assigned and is accountable to senior leadership?	Yes	□No	□ N/A
13.	Provides back up coverage for other team members during absences?	∠ Yes	□No	□ N/A
14.	Clinician is timely when completing peer review audits and credentialing/re-credentialing information as required?	Yes	□No	□ N/A
15.	Adheres to Corizon SMART values, including maintaining respect for others, fostering team work, promoting a health company culture, displaying initiative and leadership qualities?	Yes	□No	□ N/A
16.	Works well with the committee for improvement of the UM process?	∠ Yes	□No	□ N/A

^{**}Some questions may not apply to every Clinician. Please complete the appropriate questions or mark N/A**

Quality Imp	CORIZON HEALTH'				
CQI	1405	Peer Review			
Healthcare Support Team					
UM Clinician Peer Review Questionnaire					

17.	Referral reviews per day/week?	Per Day	Per Week
18.	Average turn-around time for the referral review process?	.ot/days	
19.	Ratio of approved vs ATP referrals compared to other reviewers whom do referral reviews for that same contract?	80.87°	19.2 %
20. Percentage of overturned ATP by appeal process compared to other reviewers whom do referral reviews for that same contract?			
	Comments		

- Average 240 referrals per week for past 6 month review period (this does not include the 5 digit referrals he manages in Michigan by contract as they are not entered into CARES)
- Average turn around time for past 6 month review period is 0.04/days
- Approval rate is 80.8% and ATP rate is 19.2 %
- ATP upheld rate during appeal review is 41%, 24% of overturned were related to more information provided after initial UMMD review

Review of Clinician Peer Review Questionnair	e Completed between Clinician and Supervisor
Yes 🗆 No	
Date of Review Completed on: @1/27/2020nter a d	ate.
Keith Vapundich MA	Keith Papendick
Clinician Printed Name	Clinician Signature
James Parell mh	I fruit ill
Supervisor Printed Name	Supervisor/Signaure